TRAINING SESSION – Water and WASH Futures - 16 FEBRUARY 2023



Monitoring climate risks, climate resilient WASH services and community resilience: are we ready?



Acknowledgement to country



Meet someone new



Learning objective

The overarching learning objective is to support you to expand your thinking, tools and practice enabling you to better monitor key aspects of climate resilience and WASH.

Overview of the training session

Framing presentation – monitoring at different levels for different purposes

Resilience of WASH services and community resilience

- Panel dialogue Silvia Gaya, UNICEF
- Group discussion all
- Summary Guy Howard, University of Bristol

Monitoring climate risks to WASH – Jeremy Kohlitz, Tanvi Oza, Meredith Hickman

- Why monitor climate risks to WASH
- Indicator deep dive
- Putting indicators into practice

Reflection and closing

Back to basics: spotlight on "monitoring"

What is monitoring?: Monitoring refers to an ongoing process of tracking by collecting data on specified indicators to inform decisions

Why monitor?:

- Understand deviation from an original objective so can intervene
- Demonstrate progress and impact
- Inform future directions and investment

There is wide agreement on the need to monitor climate adaptation, but limited agreement about how

IPCC AR6 2022:

- "Monitoring and evaluation of adaptation are critical for tracking progress and enabling effective adaptation (high confidence)."
- "Monitoring and evaluation of implementation is currently limited (high confidence) but has increased since AR5 at local and national levels.
- Although most of the monitoring of adaptation is focused towards planning and implementation, the monitoring of outcomes is critical for tracking the effectiveness and progress of adaptation (high confidence)."



What is 'resilience' that we're trying to monitor?

"

"The capacity of interconnected social, economic and ecological systems to cope with a hazardous event, trend or disturbance, responding or reorganising in ways that maintain their essential function, identity and structure.

Resilience is a positive attribute when it **maintains** capacity for adaptation, learning and/or transformation" (IPCC, 2021)

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2021) Annex VII: Glossary [Matthews JBR, M^ooller V, van Diemen R, Fuglestvedt JS, Masson-Delmotte V, M^oendez C, Semenov S and Reisinger A (eds)]. In: Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A, et al. (eds) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.

Three levels of monitoring are important for WASH



Monitoring adaptation: Process versus outcomes



"Upstream": Monitoring climate risks to WASH



Monitoring climate risks to WASH services: tracking changes in environmental indicators

- Why: Climate change predictions are inherently uncertain, hence tracking environmental change can help identify emerging threats to WASH services to allow pre-emptive action.
- How? Track hydrological (e.g. rainfall, streamflow, groundwater) and other environmental data, which are increasingly available.



WaterAid staff examines gauge for monitoring water levels in a storage tank



Monitoring climate risks to WASH services: tracking changes in environmental indicators

| -G- | Climati for WA | e risk SH | Reduced a unreliable unavaiabl girls tasks | which the of the decision of the other other of the other othe | Climate risk for WASH Indicators us ranfall become ng waser becomes a waser becomes andy burdened. | Red hanc Natio 1. For Subnau 2. Belov Reduc Propor | luced availability of water for hygiene: insufficient am awashing and personal hygiane, including menstrual hyg ecast SPI, CDI, PDSI or EDI tionat: w average groundwater level ed runnf or reduced river/strange | ount of water leads tiene. | to reduction in |
|--------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| | Indic | correspond indicator(s) may be affi | Nationa 1. Forec Subnat 2. Red 3. Pro 4. Be Nati 5. N 6. I 7. ing JMP W that y ected | es SP. CD, PSD or ED tankal: worksom in reduced interivational som average granutaness and som average granutaness and worksom in a som and som average granutaness worksom and prospin dependent on scenarism, shallow granutaness Ro, Swallowing dependent on scenarism, shallow granutaness or SP. Swallowing dependent on scenarism, shallow granutaness or SW. Swallowing dependent on scenarism, shallow granutaness of SW. Swallowing dependent on scenarism, shallowing dep | 0 L) on som | ional , mber v av, rtior ob | server in ouseholds without substantial storage contains or subnational: of days or months with below average rainfall erage annual rainfall in surface water catchments in of people degendent on rainwater, shallow groundwater servation | rs (e.g., > 500 L) on ter or surface water | site for domestic uses |
| | Lev | el | | Threshold | | | Response | | |
| | Low | | | Seasonal precipitation $<75\%$ of normal precipitation for this period | | Alert response agencies that emerge measures may need to be activated | gency 1 | ing water | |
| Medium | | | | Seasonal precipitation $<50\%$ of normal precipitation for this period | | | Alert public of possible water short encourage storing water | ages and | |
| | High | | | Seasonal precipitation < 25% of normal precipitation for this period | | | Deploy water trucks or packaged w affected areas | ater to | |

Figure 1. Examples of thresholds for an indicator of the risk of reduced precipitation creating shortfalls in local water supplies



Environmental Indicators of Climate Risks to Inclusive WASH



https://www.waterforwomenfund.org/en/ news/environmental-indicators-of-climaterisks-to-inclusive-wash.aspx

Monitoring climate resilience of the WASH service



Monitoring climate resilience of WASH services

Why:

IPCC AR6 2022 notes that "Key infrastructure systems including sanitation, water, health, transport, communications and energy will be <u>increasingly</u> <u>vulnerable</u> if design standards do not account for changing climate conditions (high confidence)."

Beyond design standards, it is also about how planning, operations, financing and other dimensions need to be changed.

How: Process AND/OR outcome indicators

IPCC AR6 2022:

https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGII_FinalDraft_TechnicalSummary.pdf

Indicators for climate resilience of WASH services are needed at multiple levels



Global level monitoring – need for *outcome* monitoring



Why?: To compare progress across countries, to allocate resources, to identify patterns, issues and solutions

How?: Outcome-level indicators. Potential need for additional criteria for service quality and reliability beyond current indicators, with attention to data collection frequency to track level of service outages or disruptions

Paris agreement 2015 includes a global goal on adaptation, but no metrics for monitoring For WASH, JMP measures access to basic, improved or safely managed service. Safely managed services take us a long way towards climate resilience.

National level – mostly *process* indicators monitor adaptation, with some similar to GLAAS indicators



| Components of the NAP process | Indicators for individual capacity | Indicators for institutional capacity | Indicators for societal or systemic capacity |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Preparatory elements | Number of skilled and certified impacts, vulnerability and risk experts across sectors in WASH | National and external research funding flows in CR WASH | National adaptation framework(s), project(s) or programme(s) in WASH |
| Implementation strategies | Number of training programmes to strengthen the capacity of national experts in WASH | Number of national reports on adaptation | Policies and legislation created or reviewed in WASH |
| Reporting, monitoring and review | Number of trained experts in reporting, monitoring and review in CR WASH | Experiences in integrating climate change into development CR WASH planning | National outreach and awareness programmes in WASH |
| Coordination | Institution(s) capacitated with the political and operational mandate to coordinate climate change adaptation at the national level | National coordination mechanisms at the political and technical levels, including across sectors as appropriate in WASH | Ranking of climate change, in the national political agenda |
| Information management | Number of trained and certified experts in data and information management in CR WASH | Local database(s) on adaptation data and information in WASH | Policies on data and information management in CR WASH |

Adapted sample indicators for National Adaptation Plans (UNFCCC Guidelines)

https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/NAPC/Pages/Home.aspx

https://unfccc.int/files/adaptation/application/pdf/50301_04_unfccc_monitoring_tool.pdf

National level – example process indicators for climate resilience in WASH

<u>out-g</u> gwp/g brief.j



| unicef 🕲 | Global Water Partnership | 0 | utcome/output/activity | Indicator | Hazard |
|---|---|------------------------|--|---|---------|
| | WASH Climate Resilient Development | Int er cli ar | termediate outcome: An nabling environment conducive to mate resilient WASH services nd communities | Perceived adequacy of the enabling environment for climate resilient WASH services and communities | General |
| | Technical Brief | | | Perceived adequacy of available evidence on the potential impacts of climate change on the WASH sector | General |
| | Monitoring and evaluation for climate realitent WASH | | Output: Knowledge of climate risks generated and shared | Do national WASH related ministries and departments understand climate risks and how best to respond to these? | General |
| | | | | Is understanding of climate risks shared amongst experts and stakeholders? | General |
| | | | | Has a national climate risk assessment been completed for the WASH sector? | General |
| | | | Activity: Improving understanding of climate | Are key national government agencies involved in carrying out climate risk assessments? | General |
| | | | risks | Have government-led impact evaluations, which include the impact of climate, been carried out in the past 5 years? | General |
| | era (alebalacceta (alebal (ab | | | Is a process in place to review and update risk data each year? | General |
| <u>s.//www.gwp</u> gwp/publicati /gwp_unicef_ | ons/unicef- monitoring-and-evaluation- | | Activity: Understanding resilience of technology | Percentage of water supply and sanitation technologies screened according to their suitability to withstand climate related shocks and | General |
| <u>1.put</u> | | | types | siresses | |

Local level – resilience of the WASH service

Process indicators:

 Pre-requisites that tell us whether the right supports are in place that are likely to mean services (and/or behaviours) are resilient

Outcome indicators

Focus is on the extent to which the specific service (or behaviour) continues to function (or an alternative is provided) in the face of climate events or longterm trends





•

٠

٠

Local level sanitation – resilience indicators (SCARE)

| Domain | Example indicator |
|----------------|---|
| Environment | • Percentage of latrine superstructures, containments, and treatment |
| | facilities not exposed to risks from landslides or landslips |
| Infrastructure | • Percentage of toilets that are raised above ground level to prevent |
| | flooding |
| Supply chain | • Existence of multiple service providers in the area for building and |
| | repairing sanitation infrastructure, and providing emptying services |
| Operational | Emptying and transport service providers monitor weather patterns |
| | and early warning systems to inform operational decisions |
| Community | Percentage of households that are willing and able to rebuild |
| | damaged or destroyed latrine facilities following extreme weather |
| Institutional | Sanitation related ministries and departments at local levels |
| | understand climate risks and how to respond to these |

Work in progress as part of the Sanitation Climate Adaptation, Resilience and Emissions Project (SCARE): Currently 50 indicators in total, to be tested and reduce to a smaller set

Local



Local level urban sanitation – resilience indicators



Process indicators can be developed for critical dimensions of a resilient sanitation system:



Drawn from UTS-ISF, UI and UNICEF (2021). Climate impacts and resilience for urban sanitation in Indonesia. Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology Sydney: Sydney.

Report: <u>https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/reports/climate-resilient-urban-sanitation-indonesia-hazards-impacts-and-responses-four-cities</u> Journal paper:https://doi.org/10.1177%2F23998083221098740

Organisational level – example from UNICEF



SIMPLIFIED RESULTS FRAMEWORK FOR WASH CLIMATE RESILIENCE

Rural WASH infrastructure and services are sustainable, safe and resilient to climate related risks; and WASH contributes to build community resilience to climate change

| NATIONAL | SUB-NATIONAL LEVEL/ WATERSHED LEVEL | LOCAL AND PROJECT LEVEL | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. An ENABLING ENVIRONMENT conducive to climate resilient WASH services and communities | 2. Water resources are MONITORED and MANAGED considering climate risks to WASH services and infrastructure | 3. ACCESS to climate resilient WASH infrastructure and services | 4. Climate resilient BEHAVIORAL CHANGE and GOVERNANCE at community and local level | |
| STRENGTHEN WASH SECTOR ENABLING ENVIRONMENT | BUILD WATER RESOURCE MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY | SUPPORT CLIMATE SMART INFRASTRUCTURE AND TECHNOLOGIES | SUPPORT INSTITUTIONAL REFORM AND BEHAVIOUR CHANGE | |
| 1.1 Knowledge of climate risks generated and shared 1.2 Climate risk informed policies, strategies, plans and programmes developed 1.3 Adequate budget and resources allocated 1.4 Plans implemented and monitored 1.5 Inter-sectoral coordination strengthened with focus on health, food security and education sectors 1.6 Strengthened Early Warning Systems in place | 2.1 Water resource status and pressures understood 2.2 Long-term monitoring systems implemented and maintained 2.3 Guidelines/rules developed prioritising WASH services and accounting for hydrological change 2.4 Agreed rules implemented for resource development and adaptive management | 3.1 Project design and implementation of WASH standards strengthened 3.2 Water storage enhanced and protected 3.3 Water supplies diversified where possible 3.4 Climate smart technologies (low and no regret options) for WASH investigated and implemented | 4.1 Capacities and resources of local government and local private sector to implement and monitor WASH resilient programming strengthened 4.2 Awareness and capacity of communities to respond to shocks and stresses is enhanced 4.3 Local markets and supply chains extended and deepened to increase availability of climate resilient WASH products and services 4.4 Early warning and response systems strengthened | |

Three levels of monitoring



25

Monitoring the inter-relationship between WASH and community resilience

- Why? To improve the contribution WASH makes to community resilience, and to mobilise further resources for the WASH sector
- Evidence already exists, and can be strengthened: IPCC 2022 and other sources make clear that water and sanitation are important adaptation measures to protect health and well-being. There are other links to monitor.

How can multiple use systems for WASH and agriculture support livelihoods and resilience to drought?

To what extent do skills gained in climate resilient water safety planning support improved community capacity to anticipate and respond to risks in other sectors?

Monitoring the inter-relationship between WASH and community resilience



Common domains noted in systematic review of community resilience measures

| Objective | Expected Outcomes | Examples of NS Contribution | Examples of Indicators |
|---|--|---|---|
| Improve the knowledge and health of communities. | Community people are able to assess and manage the risks facing them. | Holistic assessment of needs, risks, vulnerabilities and capacities of communities through participatory VGA, baseline survey, etc. Contingency plans. Simulation exercises. Public awareness and public education in risk reductorn, disaster laws, evacuation plans, climate change, etc. Training in risk reduction, first aid, safe shelter awareness Early warning systems. Appropriate deployment of emergency stocks. | # of VCA and sector specific assessments conducted # of community contingency plans in place. # of simulation exercises conducted. # people reached through PAPE. # people trained in DRR, CBHFA, PASSA, etc. # of community with early warning systems in place. # of emergency stocks in place. |
| | Everyone has opportunities to learn new skills, build on past experiences, and share and apply this knowledge in practice. | Knowledge and experience sharing. Training opportunities. Community involvement in programmes/projects. Monitoring and evaluation | # opportunities for sharing knowledge and experience. # training workshops and people trained. # people involved in programme/project implementation. MSE results used to inform the improvement of community programmes/projects. |
| | Everyone has access to a sustainable water and sanitation system. | Safe water systems. Hygiene promotion. Sanitation systems. | % of population with access to safe water supply. # & % of people who know how to prepare safe drinking water. # & % of people reached through hygiene promotion. sanitation systems. # water-borne disease outbreaks. |
| | Everyone has access to a secure and nutritious food supply. | Extension support services Food security field schools for exchange of experiences Food preparation and preservation Post-harvest food losses and waste reduction | # of farmers and fishers provided with extension services # of farmers and fishers attended field schools # of people trained in food preparation and preservation # of people reached through education and awareness on food loss and waste reduction |

RCRC Contributions to Strengthening Community Resilience¹

Red Cross community resilience framework

https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/19/7896#B95-sustainability-12-07896 https://www.ifrc.org/document/ifrc-framework-community-resilience



Climate risks to WASH

 Monitor changes in hydrological and other parameters (e.g. rainfall, streamflow, groundwater)

Climate resilience of the WASH service

- Monitor extent to which the service functions or hygiene behaviours persist in the face of events, trends
 and disturbances
- Monitor process indicators that support resilience

Community resilience

 Monitor capacity to adapt and cope, specific WASH contributions to wider resilience

What's next?

Panel of different perspectives on monitoring climate resilience



Panel discussion



Groupwork





Why is it important to monitor climate resilient WASH?

What challenges have you faced in monitoring climate resilient WASH?

Propose 1 key WASH global indicator to be part of global monitoring for adaptation and your reasons Environmental indicators of climate risks to WASH services

Critical reflection session



Water for Women Climate Change Learning Agenda

- DFAT Water for Women Fund supporting climate resilient WASH projects
- Learning agenda aimed to fill key knowledge gaps
- Monitoring, evaluation and learning for climate resilient WASH emerged as a key gap



Why more indicators?

Climate change is driving continual and uncertain change to the environment for the foreseeable future

- Changes in climate variables and hydrology pose risks to sustainable WASH services
- Monitoring emerging risks helps enable proactive adaptations
- Much of the data needed to monitoring risks is already available we just need to use it



Indicator development process

Identified regionally relevant natural hazards to be included (i.e., drought, flooding, extreme weather events, GW rise, sea level rise, extreme heat)

C

Compiled a (nonexhaustive) list of risks to household WASH access from those natural hazards occurring.

C

Identified indicators and indices that are relevant to monitoring or evaluating the likelihood of that risk occurring.



Compiled applicable datasets, resources and examples of the collection of data relevant to that indicator set.

Example

| Climate risk | Toilets cannot be used because seawater/floodwater causes backflow through outlets or inundates toilets. Consequently, people use unsafe alternative sanitation facilities or practice open defecation. |
|---|---|
| Indicator (scale where measurements takes place) | Forecasted high tides combined with storm activity (national) Forecasted pluvial and fluvial flooding events (national or subnational) Proportion of sanitation facilities located in areas prone to storm surges or flooding (subnational) |
| Corresponding JMP indicator | S1. Sanitation facility XS3. Facility accessible to individual household members |
| Climate hazard | Sea level rise; Storm surges; Floods |
| WASH modality | Onsite and decentralised sanitation facilities |
| Example adaptation | Preparation of communal toilets (in urban areas) for increased demand Public advisories to ensure manholes and other containment openings are sealed ahead of expected flooding events |

Example

| Climate risk | Extreme heat and humidity make fetching water dangerous. Consequently, people suffer from heat- related illness or collect less water than needed. Women and girls are disproportionally affected in areas where they are primarily responsible for water collection. |
|---|---|
| Indicator (scale where measurements takes place) | Forecasted extreme heat and humidity (national) Proportion of people collecting water from distant sources (subnational) |
| | Proportion of households without substantial storage containers (e.g. >500L) on site (subnational) |
| Corresponding JMP indicator | W5. Availability of drinking water |
| | XW2. Responsibility for water collection |
| | XW5. Availability of water supply |
| | XW8. Discontinuity of water supply |
| | XW9. Large water storage tanks |
| | |
| Climate hazard | Extreme heat |
| WASH modality | Water points not on-premises |
| Example adaptation | - Public warnings of forecasted extreme heat and humidity, and advisories to store water beforehand and |
| | conduct outdoors activities in early morning or late evenings |
| | - Distribution of packaged water |

Indicators for risks to HH access



Types of data sets

- Global databases
- National databases (e.g. meteorological data)
- Subnational monitoring programs (council, district monitoring)
- Traditional ecological knowledge
- Citizen science
- Primary data collection / monitoring

Water Data Portal











Example data sources

River level monitoring in Fiji





Tropical cyclone monitoring in Vanuatu



Notes: Chance of the even occurring for each day Low: 10-30% Moderate: 40-60% High: 70-100%

Groundwater monitoring wells in Thailand





Discuss with a friend or neighbour:

- 1. Why is it useful or important to monitor environmental indicators in the areas where you work?
- 2. What are the obstacles to doing this?

Put your thoughts into menti: menti.com (code: 1570 0683)







Look the risks and indicators assigned to your table

Reflecting on a country context(s) that you are familiar with, write down on sticky notes potential data sources for monitoring those indicators

Group activity #2

In a country context that your work in...

- 1. Who would benefit most from the data? Who would use it?
- 2. How would they access it? What would facilitate data sharing?
- 3. What capacity building or resourcing is need to monitor the indicators?
- 4. What would you be your role personally or your organisation's role in contributing to the monitoring process?

Next steps for monitoring

- Prioritise indicators what is most relevant, impactful, and feasible to monitor?
- Define thresholds for action
- Identify vulnerable groups
- Develop an action plan
- Coordinate roles and responsibilities, incentives, financing

Download the learning resource here



Monitoring Indicators of Climate Risks to Inclusive WASH



Closing

